**Baseline characteristics and treatment patterns of** patients enrolled in the PROMETCO study: A real-world, prospective longitudinal cohort on the continuum of care of metastatic colorectal cancer

> Data presented at the ESMO World Congress on Gastrointestinal Cancer 2021<sup>1</sup> and the ASCO Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium 2022<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Koopman M, et al. Patient baseline characteristics in the PROMETCO study: A real-world, prospective longitudinal cohort on the continuum of care of metastatic colorectal cancer. Poster presented at: ESMO World Congress on Gastrointestinal Cancer; June 30 to July 3, 2021; <sup>2</sup>Bachet JB, et al. Baseline treatment patterns of the first 277 patients in PROMETCO: A real-world, prospective, longitudinal cohort study on the continuum of care in metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC). Poster presented at: ASCO Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium; January 20–22, 2022.





## **PROMETCO: Study background and aim**

- PROMETCO (NCT03935763) is the first international, prospective real-world study to investigate the continuum of care in the mCRC patient population, collecting data on all patients regardless of treatment
- PROMETCO will evaluate the OS of patients with mCRC, the patterns, effectiveness and safety of mCRC treatments, the reasons behind changes or discontinuation in treatment, adherence to treatment guidelines, healthcare resource utilisation and PROs

Aim: To present initial baseline characteristics and real-world treatment patterns by biomolecular status of the first 277 patients enrolled in the PROMETCO study, as of October 1, 2020

- Inclusion criteria: Adult patients with two disease progressions since the first diagnosis of metastatic disease

ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; OS, overall survival; PRO, patient-reported outcome.





• Exclusion criteria: Patients enrolled in other clinical trials, those receiving treatment for other cancers or those with insufficient mental capacity



# Patients in the overall study population (N=277) by country





# **Biomolecular status of patients in PROMETCO**





\*Two patients had RAF and BRAF mutations. MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable.



Baseline characteristics and treatment patterns of patients enrolled in the PROMETCO study: a real-world, prospective longitudinal cohort on the continuum of care of metastatic colorectal cancer

**MSI** high 0.7% (n=2) **MSI** low

0.7% (n=2)

MSS 47.7% (n=132)

Unknown 50.9% (n=141)





















































Baseline characteristics and treatment patterns of patients enrolled in the PROMETCO study: a real-world, prospective longitudinal cohort on the continuum of care of metastatic colorectal cancer



**Oldest patient** 





\*Percentages do not include ND values (n=267). ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; ND, not determined.









\*Percentages do not include ND values (n=141). ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; ND, not determined.



Baseline characteristics and treatment patterns of patients enrolled in the PROMETCO study: a real-world, prospective longitudinal cohort on the continuum of care of metastatic colorectal cancer



### **RAS** mutation

3







\*Insufficient numbers to make an interpretation on the percentage of patients with ECOG PS 0-1; <sup>†</sup>Percentages do not include ND values (n=6). ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; ND, not determined.



Baseline characteristics and treatment patterns of patients enrolled in the PROMETCO study: a real-world, prospective longitudinal cohort on the continuum of care of metastatic colorectal cancer



**BRAF** mutation

3

n=0



### **RAS/BRAF** wildtype (n=81)

ECOG PS 0-1: 86% (n=69)\*



\*Percentages do not include ND values (n=80).

ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; ND, not determined.









\*Insufficient numbers to make an interpretation on the percentage of patients with ECOG PS 0-1. ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; ND, not determined.















\*Insufficient numbers to make an interpretation on the percentage of patients with ECOG PS 0-1. ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; ND, not determined.















\*Percentages do not include ND values (n=126). ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; ND, not determined.











\*n=275 with available data; †n=276 with available data; ‡Includes brain and skin metastases. mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable.



Baseline characteristics and treatment patterns of patients enrolled in the PROMETCO study: a real-world, prospective longitudinal cohort on the continuum of care of metastatic colorectal cancer



The highest incidence of metastatic disease was shown for liver and lung







\*n=275 with available data. mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable.







### **Overall population (N=277)**

Time between mCRC diagnosis and inclusion

### Time between diagnosis of mCRC and inclusion in PROMETCO



mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable.









\*n=145 with available data; <sup>†</sup>Includes brain and skin metastases. mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable.



Baseline characteristics and treatment patterns of patients enrolled in the PROMETCO study: a real-world, prospective longitudinal cohort on the continuum of care of metastatic colorectal cancer

### **RAS** mutation





The highest incidence of metastatic disease was shown for liver and lung





\*n=145 with available data. mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable.



Baseline characteristics and treatment patterns of patients enrolled in the PROMETCO study: a real-world, prospective longitudinal cohort on the continuum of care of metastatic colorectal cancer

**RAS** mutation





![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable.

![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)

Baseline characteristics and treatment patterns of patients enrolled in the PROMETCO study: a real-world, prospective longitudinal cohort on the continuum of care of metastatic colorectal cancer

**RAS** mutation

![](_page_23_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

\*Insufficient numbers to make an interpretation; <sup>†</sup>Includes brain and skin metastases. mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable.

![](_page_24_Picture_4.jpeg)

Baseline characteristics and treatment patterns of patients enrolled in the PROMETCO study: a real-world, prospective longitudinal cohort on the continuum of care of metastatic colorectal cancer

**BRAF** mutation

![](_page_24_Figure_8.jpeg)

Bone

0% (n=0)

**Other**<sup>†</sup>

13% (n=1)

Peritoneal

carcinomatosis

13% (n=1)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

\*Insufficient numbers to make an interpretation. mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable.

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

Baseline characteristics and treatment patterns of patients enrolled in the PROMETCO study: a real-world, prospective longitudinal cohort on the continuum of care of metastatic colorectal cancer

**BRAF** mutation

![](_page_25_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

Time between mCRC

![](_page_26_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

\*Includes brain and skin metastases. mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable.

![](_page_27_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable.

![](_page_28_Picture_4.jpeg)

Baseline characteristics and treatment patterns of patients enrolled in the PROMETCO study: a real-world, prospective longitudinal cohort on the continuum of care of metastatic colorectal cancer

RAS/BRAF wildtype

### Left 51% (n=41)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable.

![](_page_29_Picture_4.jpeg)

Baseline characteristics and treatment patterns of patients enrolled in the PROMETCO study: a real-world, prospective longitudinal cohort on the continuum of care of metastatic colorectal cancer

RAS/BRAF wildtype

![](_page_29_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

\*Insufficient numbers to make an interpretation; <sup>†</sup>Includes brain and skin metastases. mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable.

![](_page_30_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

\*Insufficient numbers to make an interpretation. mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable.

![](_page_31_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

### MSI high (n=2)\*

Time between mCRC diagnosis and inclusion

![](_page_32_Figure_5.jpeg)

mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable.

![](_page_32_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

\*Insufficient numbers to make an interpretation; <sup>†</sup>Includes brain and skin metastases. mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable.

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

\*Insufficient numbers to make an interpretation. mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable.

![](_page_34_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable.

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

\*Includes brain and skin metastases. mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable.

![](_page_36_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable.

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

Baseline characteristics and treatment patterns of patients enrolled in the PROMETCO study: a real-world, prospective longitudinal cohort on the continuum of care of metastatic colorectal cancer

![](_page_37_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Left **46%** (n=60)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable.

![](_page_38_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Overall population (n=257)\***

**Duration of** treatment

Due to patients with no/undocumented previous treatment and the low number of patients, data are not presented for the BRAF mutation, MSI high, and MSI low groups

### **Duration of treatment**

Duration of treatment (months) <sup>†</sup>	Line 1 (n=257)‡	<b>Treatm</b> Line 2 (n=209)	<b>ent line</b> Line 3 (n=30)‡	Line 4 (n=4)‡	
Median (Q1, Q3)	8.0 (4.9, 13.2)	5.2 (2.7, 9.2)	3.4 (1.9, 7.9)	1.5 (0.5, 14.8)	
Min, max	0.03, 87.4	0.03, 55.1	0.03, 18.0	0.5, 14.8	

### The majority of patients analyzed received first- and second-line treatment. The duration of treatment decreased with each successive line

\*Patients with no/undocumented previous treatment: n=20; †Duration of treatment in months was calculated by converting days to months using a 30.44:1 ratio. A patient could have multiple treatments for each treatment line; <sup>‡</sup>Missing data: n=1. max, maximum; min, minimum; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; Q, quartile.

![](_page_39_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Overall population (n=257)**\*

First-line treatment

Due to patients with no/undocumented previous treatment and the low number of patients, data are not presented for the BRAF mutation, MSI high, and MSI low groups

![](_page_40_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_6.jpeg)

At first line after mCRC diagnosis, 70% of patients received CT doublet/triplet + anti-VEGF/EGFR therapies. However, 20% received CT alone, which is not in accordance with international/ESMO guidelines<sup>1</sup>

\*Patients with no/undocumented previous treatment: n=20. Regimens: anti-VEGF = bevacizumab and aflibercept; anti-EGFR = cetuximab and panitumumab. 5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; BEV, bevacizumab; CAP, capecitabine; CT, chemotherapy; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; ESMO, European Society for Medical Oncology; FOLFIRI, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan; FOLFOXIRI, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan + oxaliplatin; FOLFOX, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan; FOLFIRI, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan; FOLFIRI, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan; FOLFOX, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan; FOLFOXIRI, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan; FOLFOX, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan; FOLFOX oxaliplatin: IRI, irinotecan: mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; OXA, oxaliplatin; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor. 1. Van Cutsem E, et al. Ann Oncol. 2016;27:1386-422.

![](_page_40_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Overall population (n=257)**\*

Second-line treatment

Due to patients with no/undocumented previous treatment and the low number of patients, data are not presented for the BRAF mutation, MSI high, and MSI low groups

![](_page_41_Figure_5.jpeg)

### Second-line treatment (n=209)

At second line after mCRC diagnosis, 68% of patients received CT doublet/triplet + anti VEGF/EGFR therapy. The proportion of CT doublet/triplet given alone was stable between first and second line

\*Patients with no/undocumented previous treatment: n=20. Regimens: anti-VEGF = bevacizumab and aflibercept; anti-EGFR = cetuximab and panitumumab. 5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; BEV, bevacizumab; CAP, capecitabine; CT, chemotherapy; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; FOLFIRI, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan; FOLFIRINOX/FOLFOXIRI, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan; mcRc, metastatic colorectal cancer: MSI, microsatellite instability: MSS, microsatellite stable: OXA, oxaliplatin: VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.

![](_page_41_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Duration of** treatment

Due to patients with no/undocumented previous treatment and the low number of patients, data are not presented for the BRAF mutation, MSI high, and MSI low groups

### **Duration of treatment**

Duration of treatment (months) <sup>†</sup>	Line 1 (n=135)	<b>Treatm</b> Line 2 (n=110)	ent line Line 3 (n=14)	Line 4 (n=3)‡	
Median (Q1, Q3)	8.0 (4.7, 12.1)	4.8 (2.8, 9.2)	3.8 (2.3, 8.2)	7.6 (0.5, 14.8)	
Min, max	0.03, 87.4	0.1, 35.4	1.2, 18.0	0.5, 14.8	

There was no difference between the duration of treatment of RAS mutated and RAS/BRAF wildtype groups. Duration of treatment decreased with each successive line, in line with results for the overall population

\*Patients with no/undocumented previous treatment: n=11; †Duration of treatment in months was calculated by converting days to months using a 30.44:1 ratio. A patient could have multiple treatments for each treatment line; <sup>‡</sup>Missing data: n=1.

max, maximum; min, minimum; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; Q, quartile.

![](_page_42_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_2.jpeg)

Due to patients with no/undocumented previous treatment and the low number of patients, data are not presented for the BRAF mutation, MSI high, and MSI low groups

![](_page_43_Figure_4.jpeg)

### First-line treatment (n=135)

At first line, the RAS-mutant group received a higher proportion of CT doublet/triplet therapy vs the RAS/BRAF wildtype group. As per ESMO/international guidelines,<sup>1</sup> the majority of patients in the RAS-mutant group (64%) received CT doublet/triplet + anti-VEGF therapy

\*Patients with no/undocumented previous treatment: n=11; <sup>†</sup>One patient received FOLFIRI + anti-EGFR. Regimens: anti-VEGF = bevacizumab and aflibercept; anti-EGFR = cetuximab and panitumumab. 5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; BEV, bevacizumab; CAP, capecitabine; CT, chemotherapy; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; ESMO, European Society for Medical Oncology; FOLFIRI, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan; FOLFIRINOX/ FOLFOXIRI, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan + oxaliplatin; FOLFOX, folinic acid + 5-FU + oxaliplatin; IRI, irinotecan; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; OXA, oxaliplatin; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor. 1. Van Cutsem E, et al. Ann Oncol. 2016;27:1386-422.

![](_page_43_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

Second-line treatment

Due to patients with no/undocumented previous treatment and the low number of patients, data are not presented for the BRAF mutation, MSI high, and MSI low groups

![](_page_44_Figure_5.jpeg)

### Second-line treatment (n=110)

At second line, there was no difference between the RAS-mutant and RAS/BRAF wildtype groups, except for CT doublet/triplet + anti-EGFR (only received by the RAS/BRAF wildtype group)

\*Patients with no/undocumented previous treatment: n=11. Regimens: anti-VEGF = bevacizumab and aflibercept; anti-EGFR = cetuximab and panitumumab. 5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; BEV, bevacizumab; CAP, capecitabine; CT, chemotherapy; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; FOLFIRI, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan; FOLFIRINOX/FOLFOXIRI, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan + oxaliplatin; FOLFOX, folinic acid + 5-FU + oxaliplatin; IRI, irinotecan; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; OXA, oxaliplatin; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.

![](_page_44_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Duration of** treatment

![](_page_45_Picture_4.jpeg)

Due to patients with no/undocumented previous treatment and the low number of patients, data are not presented for the BRAF mutation, MSI high, and MSI low groups

### **Duration of treatment**

Duration of treatment (months) <sup>†</sup>	Line 1 (n=76)	<b>Treatm</b> Line 2 (n=67)	<b>ent line</b> Line 3 (n=14)‡	Line 4 (n=1)	
Median (Q1, Q3) Min. max	8.4 (5.6, 14.3)	4.4 (2.4, 8.1)	2.2 (1.0, 7.0)	1.5 (1.5, 1.5)	
iviin, max	1.7, 30.0	1.2, 32.0	0.03, 12.2	1.3, 1.3	

There was no difference between the RAS-mutated and RAS/BRAF wildtype groups. Duration of treatment decreased with each successive line, in line with results for the overall population

\*Patients with no/undocumented previous treatment: n=5; †Duration of treatment in months was calculated by converting days to months using a 30.44:1 ratio. A patient could have multiple treatments for each treatment line; <sup>‡</sup>Missing data: n=1. max, maximum; min, minimum; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; Q, quartile.

![](_page_45_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

First-line

![](_page_46_Figure_5.jpeg)

### At first line, the RAS-mutant group received a higher proportion of CT doublet/triplet therapy vs the RAS/BRAF wildtype group. The majority of patients in the RAS/BRAF wildtype group (62%) received CT doublet/triplet + anti-EGFR therapy

\*Patients with no/undocumented previous treatment: n=5. Regimens: anti-VEGF = bevacizumab and aflibercept; anti-EGFR = cetuximab and panitumumab. 5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; BEV, bevacizumab; CAP, capecitabine; CT, chemotherapy; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; FOLFIRI, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan; FOLFIRINOX/FOLFOXIRI, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan + oxaliplatin; FOLFOX, folinic acid + 5-FU + oxaliplatin; IRI, irinotecan; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; OXA, oxaliplatin; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.

![](_page_46_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

Second-line treatment

![](_page_47_Figure_6.jpeg)

At second line, there was no difference between the RAS-mutant and RAS/BRAF wildtype groups, except for CT doublet/triplet + anti-EGFR (only received by the RAS/BRAF wildtype group)

\*Patients with no/undocumented previous treatment: n=5. Regimens: anti-VEGF = bevacizumab and aflibercept; anti-EGFR = cetuximab and panitumumab. 5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; BEV, bevacizumab; CAP, capecitabine; CT, chemotherapy; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; FOLFIRI, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan; FOLFIRINOX/FOLFOXIRI, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan + oxaliplatin; FOLFOX, folinic acid + 5-FU + oxaliplatin; IRI, irinotecan; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; OXA, oxaliplatin; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.

![](_page_47_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

### MSS (n=124)\*

**Duration of** treatment

Due to patients with no/undocumented previous treatment and the low number of patients, data are not presented for the BRAF mutation, MSI high, and MSI low groups

### **Duration of treatment**

Duration of treatment (months) <sup>†</sup>	Line 1 (n=124)	<b>Treatm</b> Line 2 (n=102)	<b>ent line</b> Line 3 (n=14)‡	Line 4 (n=1)	
Median (Q1, Q3) Min. max	8.0 (4.6, 12.2) 0.03, 43.9	4.6 (2.8, 7.5) 0.1, 55,1	5.8 (2.9, 8.5) 0.8, 18.0	-	

Duration of treatment decreased with each successive line, in line with results for the overall population

\*Patients with no/undocumented previous treatment: n=8; †Duration of treatment in months was calculated by converting days to months using a 30.44:1 ratio. A patient could have multiple treatments for each treatment line; <sup>‡</sup>Missing data: n=1.

max, maximum; min, minimum; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; Q, quartile.

![](_page_48_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

### MSS (n=124)\*

**First-line** treatment

Due to patients with no/undocumented previous treatment and the low number of patients, data are not presented for the BRAF mutation, MSI high, and MSI low groups

![](_page_49_Figure_5.jpeg)

### First-line treatment (n=124)

### At first line, the treatment distribution in the MSS group was similar to that of the overall population

\*Patients with no/undocumented previous treatment: n=8. Regimens: anti-VEGF = bevacizumab and aflibercept; anti-EGFR = cetuximab and panitumumab. 5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; BEV, bevacizumab; CAP, capecitabine; CT, chemotherapy; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; FOLFIRI, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan; FOLFIRINOX/FOLFOXIRI, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan + oxaliplatin; FOLFOX, folinic acid + 5-FU + oxaliplatin; IRI, irinotecan; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; OXA, oxaliplatin; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.

![](_page_49_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

### MSS (n=124)\*

Second-line treatment

Due to patients with no/undocumented previous treatment and the low number of patients, data are not presented for the BRAF mutation, MSI high, and MSI low groups

![](_page_50_Figure_5.jpeg)

### Second-line treatment (n=102)

### At second line, the treatment distribution in the MSS group was similar to that of the overall population

\*Patients with no/undocumented previous treatment: n=8. Regimens: anti-VEGF = bevacizumab and aflibercept; anti-EGFR = cetuximab and panitumumab. 5-FU, 5- fluorouracil; BEV, bevacizumab; CAP, capecitabine; CT, chemotherapy; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; FOLFIRI, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan; FOLFIRINOX/FOLFOXIRI, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan; FOLFIRI, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan; FOLFIRINOX/FOLFOXIRI, folinic acid + 5-FU + irinotecan; FOLFIRI, folinic acid + 5-FU + irino

![](_page_50_Picture_9.jpeg)

Baseline characteristics and treatment patterns of patients enrolled in the PROMETCO study: a real-world, prospective longitudinal cohort on the continuum of care of metastatic colorectal cancer

![](_page_50_Figure_11.jpeg)

60

70

50

### Conclusions

Preliminary data from the PROMETCO trial provide key insights on the baseline demographics, disease characteristics, molecular status and prior treatment patterns of real-world patients with mCRC

RAS/BRAF molecular testing is routinely performed (with only 15% having an unknown status). However, for MSI molecular testing, there is a significant proportion with an unknown status (50.9%), which could potentially influence the choice of treatment and subsequent treatment sequencing

The mean age at diagnosis for the overall study population is 66 years, which is in line with the high range reported in a systematic literature review of seven clinical studies (median age range, 56–67 years)<sup>1</sup>

Tumours on the right side occur less frequently (28.0–44.0%) than on the left, in this initial assessment of the population. This is in line with a subgroup analysis of 12 randomised trials (26.1–73.9%).<sup>2</sup> Further analysis on a larger population will be interesting to determine sidedness/mutational status and how this affects treatment sequence and prognostic features

Prior to inclusion in PROMETCO, the majority of patients received CT doublet/triplet + anti-VEGF/EGFR therapy as firstand second-line treatment, which is in line with international/ESMO guidelines.<sup>3</sup> Some patients received third- and fourth-line treatment

It is anticipated that PROMETCO will provide valuable data on OS, treatment patterns, effectiveness, safety, adherence to treatment guidelines, healthcare resource utilisation and PROs in this patient population

CT, chemotherapy; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; ESMO, European Society for Medical Oncology; mCRC, metastatic colorectal cancer; MSI, microsatellite instability; OS, overall survival; PRO, patient-reported outcome; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor. 1. Walter T, et al. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2020;146(10):2575–87; 2. Yin J, et al. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2021;djab112; 3. Van Cutsem E, et al. Ann Oncol. 2016;27(8):1386–422.

![](_page_51_Picture_8.jpeg)